

Hough, MacAdam, Wartnik, Fisher & Gorman, LLC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

JIM HOUGH, CPA JAYSON WARTNIK, CPA LAURA FISHER, CPA BOB GORMAN, CPA

JOE COLO, CPA SHIRLEY MACADAM, CPA KRISTINA GOCHNOUR, CPA SALLY JAEGGLI, CPA TINA JONES, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

March 22, 2017

To the Board of Commissioners of Coos County:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Coos County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2016. Coos County's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Coos County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of OMB Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Coos County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on Coos County's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Coos County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Coos County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Coos County's internal control over compliance with types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine our auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be *material weaknesses*. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

dugh, MacAdam, Wartnik, Fisher & Gorman, LLC

Certified Public Accountants

COOS COUNTY, OREGON SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

SECTION I SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements:		
Type of auditor's report issued	Qualified	X_ Unqualified
Internal control of financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	_X_ No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weakness(es)?	Yes	XNo
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes	X No
Federal Awards:		
Internal control over major programs:		
Any material weaknesses identified?	Yes	_X_ No
Any significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes	X_ None reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs	Qualified	X Unqualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510 (a) of Circular A-133?	Yes	X No
Identification of major programs:		
Distribution of Receipts to State and Local Govern	nments CFDA	# 15.227
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs		\$ 750,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	_X_ Yes	No
SECTION II FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FIN	DINGS	
None		
SECTION III FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUES	TIONED COSTS	
None		

COOS COUNTY, OREGON SUMMARY OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Questioned Costs:

There were no questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Findings:

2015-001

CFDA 93.959 Substance Abuse Block Grant – U. S. Department of Health and Human Services Passed through Oregon Health Authority

Allowable Activities - Significant Deficiency

Costs were charged to the grant for activities unrelated to the grant objectives.

Corrective action was taken.

COOS COUNTY, OREGON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA	Construction of
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture		
Passed through Oregon Health Authority:		
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants,		
and Children	10.557	260,963
Passed through State of Oregon Department of Administrative Servi	ices:	
Forest Service - Schools and RoadsGrants to States	10.665	251,940
Subtotal U.S. Department of Agriculture		512,903
U.S. Department of Interior	45.000	170 010
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	15.226	473,648
Distribution of Receipts to State and Local Governments	15.227	* 2,177,638
Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination National Wildlife Refuge Fund	15.234 15.659	112,297 21,968
Passed through State of Oregon Marine Board	13.039	21,900
Clean Vessel Act	15.616	14,000
Subtotal U.S. Department of Interior	13.010	2,799,551
oublotar o.o. Department of Interior		2,133,001
U.S. Department of Justice		
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	16.606	268
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	115,972
Congressionally Recommended Awards	16.753	254,490
Passed through State of Oregon Department of Justice:		
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	60,303
Passed through Coquille Indian Tribe:	40.740	44 000
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	41,682
Subtotal U.S. Department of Justice		472,715
U.S. Department of Transportation		
Passed through State of Oregon Department of Transportation:		
Formula Grants for Rural Areas	20.509	206,762
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513	270,544
Subtotal U.S. Department of Transportation	20.010	477,306
Environmental Protection Agency		
Passed through Oregon Health Authority:		
State Public Water System Supervision	66.432	7,071
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds	66.468	5,607
Subtotal Environmental Protection Agency		12,678
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
Passed through Oregon Health Authority:	1/2/2/2/2/2/2/	
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	78,740
Hospital Preparedness Program and Public Health	00.074	0.050
Emergency Preparedness Aligned Cooperative	93.074	3,053
Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Co	93.116	267
Family Planning Services	93.217	27,813
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.958	31,950
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.959	136,946
Passed through Oregon Health & Science University:	93.994	36,291
National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences	93.350	11 500
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	11,500 9,054
Passed through State of Oregon Department of Justice:	30.334	5,004
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	117,807
Subtotal U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	00.000	453,421
Subtotal Stot Bopartmont of Floatill and Hamail Convictor		700,721

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA	
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
Passed through State of Oregon Marine Board		
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	90,006
Passed through Oregon Military Department:		
Disaster Grants-Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disast	97.036	161,331
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	70,511
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	140,995
State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)	97.073	88,167
Subtotal U.S. Department of Homeland Security		551,010
Total Federal Assistance		5,279,584

^{*} Indicates a major program
** Indicates non-cash assistance

SCHEDULE OF AMOUNTS PROVIDED TO SUBRECIPIENTS For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures
Todalai Olaitoni ass iliisagii		
U.S. Department of Agriculture		
Passed through to Local School Districts:		
Forest Service - Schools and RoadsGrants to States	10.665	62,985
U.S. Department of Transportation		
Passed through to Coos County Area Transit Service District:		
Formula Grants for Rural Areas	20.509	206,762
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513	270,544
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
Passed through to Adapt:		
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959	64,265

COOS COUNTY, OREGON NOTE TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Note 1. Purpose of the Schedule

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of Coos County, Oregon for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompany schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting as described in Note 1 to the County basic financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

Note 3. De Minimis Cost Rate

The County did not elect to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate during the 2015-16 fiscal year.