From: Sent: To: Subject: Jill Rolfe Wednesday, September 11, 2019 9:17 AM Crystal Orr FW: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

Jill Rolfe

Jill Rolfe, Planning Director Coos County Planning Department 225 N. Adams St. Coquille OR 97423 250 N. Baxter (Mailing) 541-396-7770 planning@co.coos.or.us

From: Charles Reid [mailto:creid3@ix.netcom.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2019 3:07 PM To: Jill Rolfe Subject: RE: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

## This Message originated outside your organization.

https://tides4fishing.com is the URL for the pages

Charlie

-----Original Message-----From: Jill Rolfe Sent: Sep 10, 2019 10:46 AM To: Charles Reid Subject: RE: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

Mr. Reid,

This one did not and there was only one attachment. I suspect something happened when it was forwarded from the Hearings Officer.

Thank you,

Gill Rolfe Jill Rolfe, Planning Director Coos County Planning Department 225 N. Adams St. Coquille OR 97423 250 N. Baxter (Mailing) 541-396-7770 planning@co.coos.or.us

Exhibit: 🕜 Date: 🔿

1

From: Charles Reid [mailto:creid3@ix.netcom.com] Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2019 10:12 AM To: Jill Rolfe Subject: RE: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

This Message originated outside your organization.

Usually a large PDF file will give you the option to save the document first. After doing so, you will be able to open.

Thanks, sent a second time if needed.

-----Original Message-----From: Jill Rolfe Sent: Sep 9, 2019 3:26 PM To: "creid3@ix.netcom.com" Subject: RE: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

Mr. Ried,

It appears the attachment you provided is blank. It is a PDF document but when you open it, the document is blank. Please resend prior the expiration of the deadline.

Thank you,

Jill Rolfe Jill Rolfe, Planning Director Coos County Planning Department 225 N. Adams St. Coquille OR 97423 250 N. Baxter (Mailing) 541-396-7770 planning@co.coos.or.us

-----Original Message-----From: <u>andrewstamp@comcast.net</u> [mailto:andrewstamp@comcast.net] Sent: Monday, September 09, 2019 1:43 PM To: Jill Rolfe Subject: FW: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

This Message originated outside your organization.

This was emailed to me directly.

-----Original Message-----From: Charles Reid <creid3@ix.netcom.com> Sent: Sunday, September 8, 2019 2:45 PM To: <u>andrewstamp@comcast.net</u> Subject: Staement on Record for Coos Bay

From: Charles A. Reid III 1261 Embarcadero Circle Coos Bay, Oregon 97420 creid3@ix.netcom.com

Dear Mr Stamp:

Pursuant to some very valued questions which pose on Coos Bay's harbor utilization and interference, I have some brief comments.

The safety and security zone may differ. Concerning security, it seems as though the only consideration might be from an on the water attack. SIGTTO shows a safety standard for the ship to be 500 meters at all times including while in dock. If followed that would preclude the very siting of the plant. In its earliest documents, the Coast Guard admitted that it could do little to stop a terrorist attack.

I do not recall an empty ship being able to travel over the Bar at low tide. I have attached the tide schedule for September 2019. To gain the 6 foot elevation for a loaded ship to go over the Bar will shift daily, and will not always let the ship exit at the second of the higher tides.

Night time is not the answer as a strict rule both for the tides and the activities. Crabbers in season frequently work in groups to drag net the beds. They leave in a line at night near the high tides. There are from 12-24 boats leaving at once. Giving preference to the LNG ships would seriously limit a major enterprise for the bay area. Some fishing follows similar patterns, but with fewer boats leaving at one time.

For security, this has always been taken too lightly. The Coast Guard has assumed we would be a highly unlikely target. In this day and age, this is extremely poor thinking. Our home grown terrorists have attacked everything from churches, schools, shopping centers, to work place meetings. An attack would be unlikely from the water, which draws focus due to the Coast Guard being on the water. Yet the water way is narrow, the travel pattern being somewhat centered, place a ship in range of a number of ways to attack. An expert marksman with a 50 Caliber gun, with the right ammunition could accurately fire a round 1000 yards into an armored vehicle. That said, a person could do so from the opposite shore into the boat, and possibly the filling equipment during fill. One only has to look on the internet for such a weapon. It has high velocity, accuracy, and impact. Someone familiar with heat lasers might be able to do damage as well. The fact I learned from security around our ramps in the Air Force, you can be attacked in the least likely way and place. This facility is no different. There are plenty of rentals along the opposite shore where such an attack could be launched. Unlike busier harbors, this is too easy of a target to assume we are unlikely.

We receive some rough waters, particularly in winter. Some are fast arriving storms. The fishing and crabbing fleets could be seriously endangered if they needed to seek harbor during an LNG ship coming or going.

I thank you for this opportunity,

Charles A. Reid III

## Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more <u>Click Here</u>.

</creid3@ix.netcom.com><> </creid3@ix.netcom.com><>

			TIDE	TIDES FOR CHARLESTON	NO		SOLLINIAD ACTIVITY
	E	1 <sup>st</sup> TIDE	2 <sup>nd</sup> TIDE	3rd TIDE	4 <sup>th</sup> TIDE	COEFFICIENT	
<b>▲</b> 6:42	6:42 am 😽 7:50 pm	1:49 am 8.3 ft 🔺	8:08 am -1.0 ft 🔻	2:34 pm 7.9 ft A	8:33 pm 0.2 ft 🔻	108 very high	
<b>4</b> 6:4:	6:43 am 🔻 7:49 pm	2:42 am 7.8 ft 🔺	8:51 am -0.4 ft V	3:15 pm 8.0 ft A	9:26 pm 0.0 ft 🔻	98 very high	
<b>*</b> 6:4	6:44 am 👻 7:47 pm	3:38 am 7.2 ft 🔺	9:35 am 0.5 ft 🔻	3:59 pm 8.0 ft A	10:22 pmo.o ft 🖤	84 high	
- Q:7	6:45 am 😽 7:45 pm	4:38 am 6.5 ft 🔺	10:22 am1.4 ft 🔻	4:45 pm 7.8 ft A	11:23 pmo.2 ft 💌	68 average	<i>∲</i> <i>∲</i>
¥ 0:	6:46 am 😽 7:43 pm	5:46 am 5.9 ft 🔺	11:14 am2.2 ft 🔻	5:37 pm 7.4 ft		53 average	
<ul> <li>▲ 65</li> </ul>	6:47 am 🔻 7:42 pm	12:30 am0.4 ft 🖤	7:05 am s.s ft 🔺	12:17 pm2.9 ft 🖤	6:36 pm 7.1 ft 🔺	42 Iow	* *
¢	6:49 am 🔻 7:40 pm	1:41 am 0.4 ft 🔻	8:30 am 5.4 ft 🔺	1:33 pm 3.3 ft 🔻	7:42 pm 6.9 ft 🔺	39 low	\$ \$
4	6:50 am 💗 7:38 pm	2:51 am 0.4 ft 🔻	9:45 am s.6 ft 🔺	2:49 pm 3.4 ft 🖤	8:48 pm 6.8 ft 🔺	44 low	
9 <b>\</b>	6:51 am 🔻 7:36 pm	3:51 am 0.3 ft 🔻	10:42 am5.8 ft 🔺	3:54 pm 3.1 ft 🔻	9:49 pm 6.9 ft A	52 average	@ @
Q 4	6:52 am 🐦 7:34 pm	4:41 am 0.1 ft V	11:25 am6.1 ft 🔺	4:46 pm 2.8 ft 🔻	10:40 pm7.0 ft 🔺	62 average	<i>\</i>
Q I	6:53 am 🔻 7:33 pm	5:23 am 0.0 ft 🔻	11:59 am6.3 ft 🔺	5:29 pm 2.4 ft 🔻	11:25 pm7.2 ft 🔺	70 high	@ @ •
4	6:54 am 🔻 7:31 pm	6:00 am 0.0 ft 🔻	12:30 ртб.5 ft 🔺	6:07 pm 2.0 ft 🔻		77 high	@ @
4	6:55 am 👻 7:29 pm	12:05 am7.2 ft 🔺	6:32 am 0.0 ft 🔻	12:58 pm6.7 ft 🔺	6:42 pm 1.5 ft 🔻	82 high	<b></b>
4	6:56 am 👻 7:27 pm	12:43 am7.2 ft 🔺	7:03 am 0.2 ft 🔻	1:25 pm 6.9 ft A	7:16 pm 1.3 ft 🔻	85 high	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>
4	6:57 am 🔻 7:25 pm	1:19 am 7.1 ft 🔺	7:33 am 0.4 ft 🔻	1:52 pm 7.0 ft A	7:51 pm 1.1 ft 🔻	85 high	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>
4	6:58 am 😽 7:24 pm	1:56 am 6.9 ft 🔺	8:01 am o.8.ft 🔻	2:19 pm 7.1 ft A	8:26 pm 0.9 ft 🔻	83 high	
7	7:00 am 🔻 7:22 pm	2:34 am 6.6 ft 🔺	8:30 am 1.3 ft 🔻	2:46 pm 7.1 ft A	9:03 pm e.e.ft 🔻	78 high	<i></i>
7	7:01 am 😽 7:20 pm	3:16 am 6.3 ft 🔺	9:00 sm 1.8 ft 🔻	3:15 pm 2:1 ft 🔺	9:44 pm 0.8 ft 🔻	71 high	\$ \$ \$
7	7:02 am 🔻 7:18 pm	4:02 am 5.9 ft 🔺	9:33 8m 2.3 ft 🔻	3:48 pm 7.0 ft A	10:31 pm0.6 ft 🔻	62 average	<i>\</i> <i>\</i> <i>\</i> <i>\</i> <i>\</i> <i>\</i>
₹ 1	7:03 am 🔻 7:16 pm	4:58 am 5.6 ft 🔺	10:11 an2.9 ft 🔻	4:28 pm 7.0 ft A	11:27 pm0.s ft 🔻	53 average	
7	7:04 am 😽 7:14 pm	6:07 am s.3 ft 🔺	11:02 arr3.3 ft 🔻	5:20 pm 6.9 ft 🔺		44 Iow	* *
4	7:05 am 😽 7:13 pm	12:34 amo.s ft 🔻	7:27 am 5:2 ft 🔺	12:14 pm3.6 ft 🔻	6:28 pm 6.8 ft A	40 Iow	<i>∲</i> <i>∲</i>
7 🔺	7:06 am 👻 7:11 pm	1:46 am 0.5ft 🔻	8:44 am 5.5 ft 🔺	1:42 pm 3.6 ft 🔻	7:44 pm 6.9 ft A	45 Iow	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>
7	7:07 am 😽 7:09 pm	2:54 am 0.2 ft 🔻	9:46 am 5.9 ft A	3:00 pm 3.2 ft 🔻	8:58 pm 7.2 ft 🔺	59 average	\$ \$
▲ 7	7:09 am 🔻 7:07 pm	3:52 am -0.3 ft 🔻	10:36 amé.s ft 🔺	4:04 pm 2.5 ft 🔻	10:03 pm7.6 ft 🔺	75 high	<i>∲</i> <i>∲</i>
▲ 7	7:10 am 🔻 7:05 pm	4:44 am -0.6 ft 🔻	11:19 amz.o.ft 🔺	4:59 pm 1.6 ft 💌	11:02 pm8.0 ft 🔺	92 very high	
7 🔺	7:11 am 🤝 7:04 pm	5:31 am -0.7 ft 🔻	11:59 am7.6 ft 🔺	5:50 pm 0.8 ft 🔻	11:57 pm8.2 ft A	105 very high	~ •
7	7:12 am 💙 7:02 pm	6:15 am -0.7 ft 🔻	12:38 pm8.1 ft A	6:39 pm 0.1 ft 🔻		114 very high	**
1	7:13 am 🔻 7:00 pm	12:50 am8.2 ft 🔺	6:58 am -0.3 ft 🔻	1:16 pm 8.4 ft 🔺	7:27 pm -0.5 ft 🔻	115 very high	<b></b>
5	01.7						

0 E E I V E 0 1 SEP 1 6 2019 8

©2019 tides4fishing.com