Natalie Ranker 414 Simpson Ave North Bend, OR 97459

June 10, 2019

Andrew H Stamp, PC Attorney at Law 4248 Galewood St Lake Oswego, OR 97025

Re: REM-19-001

Dear Hearings Officer Stamp and Director Rolfe,

Before dealing with this Remand, I would like to bring your attention to a situation that has been ongoing since Oct. 2017. At that time Todd Goergen became a planning commissioner. Mr Goergen was at that time and continues to be a member of Boost Southwest Oregon, which is the most pro-Jordan Cove organization in this area. If there is any question, please go to their Facebook page and click on their You Tube link. The first words that you will hear are, "When the Jordan Cove energy project is built..." The whole page does nothing but praise Jordan Cove. Mr Goergen continually submits letters to The World newspaper criticizing and ridiculing people who oppose the project, thus showing his bias. He also stands to benefit monetarily if the project is approved. He owns a large campground on the North Spit heading toward the JCEP location. It will be filled with workers for the project throughout the construction phase and probably beyond that due to it's proximity to the facility. I respectfully request that you review this matter and have Mr Goergen recuse himself when dealing with JCEP decisions.

In addition to Mr Goergen's bias in making decisions for the County, we also have to deal with Commissioner Sweet. Regarding Comm. Sweet, LUBA stated on p 3 of the Remand that he "failed to disclose the substance of any ex parte communication that occurred at a 2014 community luncheon presentation by Applicant about aspects of the Project." Comm. Sweet has received \$50,000 in campaign contributions in 2018, as recorded by the Secretary of State's office. He was also questioned about his bias for the project at a Board of Commissioners' meeting on Oct 24, 2018 due to the fact that he had travelled to Colorado to learn about fracking because he had heard that it was a major contributor to air pollution, and he was pleased to find out that it wasn't. Such a false and misleading statement when JCEP will be the biggest polluter in the state. Comm Sweet has shown his bias in many other statements/actions. He is incapable of rendering an unprejudiced judgement, and I respectfully request that you review his record and have him recuse himself as well.

Regarding REM-19-001, the applicant and the county have greatly underestimated or understated the impacts of the security zones surrounding LNG tankers moving through the estuary to and from the plant. On p 6 of their DSL application, JCEP states, "Overall, the effects of LNG carriers transitting to the LNG terminal on recreational and other boating activity will resemble those associated with the ongoing traffic of cargo ships that regularly call on the Port to export wood chips and logs." However, there is a major difference between wood chip and log export vessels and an LNG tanker. The Coast Guard will impose a moving security zone of 500 yards around an LNG carrier. This will require recreational vessels that are crabbing or fishing within the security zone to stop their activities. The Coast Guard will also limit transits over the bar when a tanker enters/leaves the bay. The bar is an area that recreational and commercial crabbers use. This does not occur when chip or log ships enter/leave. Also, the proposed Vessel Traffic Information Service (VTIS) system that will

Exhibit: 5
Date: 6/10/19

announce LNG transits will not be of use to most recreational vessels because few will have access to this equipment.

JCEP states on p 8, "The sum of the periods in which LNG carriers would have a potential impact on recreational or other boating activity ia about a maximum of 7 hours per week out of a possible 168 hours, or about 8% of all daylight hours and about 4% of all hours when LNG carriers can transit at any time. That leaves a total of 161 hours per week of unrestricted use of Coos Bay." This is a good example of how the applicant does not understand the importance of when crabbing takes place. Crabbing is done at slack high tide when crabs can easily feed because currents are reduced. Therefore, there are not 168 hours for productive crabbing because fishermen will not be able to crab at the most productive times if LNG carriers are transiting the bay.

On p 9, JCEP states, "The Applicants have also had a series of meetings with local recreational fishing groups in Coos Bay, to provide information and answer questions, and plan to continue to do so throughout permitting, construction, and operations to alleviate concerns and to minimize potential conflicts." However, they give no evidence of when these meetings were held and with whom. There is no local recreational crabbing association, and upon inquiry with many long time residents, I have found none who belong to any groups.

There is also the problem that there are portions of the estuary that are less than 1,000 yards wide. To comply with Coast Guard regulations on LNG transport, this will put a stop to navigation, fishing, and recreational activities of those portions until the tanker passes. This is an unreasonable hardship for those working and recreating on the bay. I have several friends who own small boats and who often fish, crab, and clam in the estuary. They do not have VTIS equipment and are concerned that they will be "in the wrong place" because they will not know when a tanker is transiting. JCEP is totally changing the face of recreation on the bay, and it will not take much time for them to start avoiding this area.

And a final insult...At the Harbor Safety meeting in Feb 2019, a JCEP representative was describing the procedure for an LNG tanker passage. There will be lights and sirens in Charleston and a light near the McCullough Bridge. These may be annoying, but probably dealable for most people. However, one aspect that may be unacceptable to residents who live along the navigation channel is the fact that all properties situated along the shoreline will be monitored on video which will be viewed by persons in the sheriff's facility on the JCEP property. I assume they will use drones, but the rep, at the meeting would not give away the means of surveillance. Such an invasion of privacy will be intolerable and unacceptable for many residents.

There are many more examples of unreasonable activities by JCEP that will interfere with local and tourist uses of the bay. I would like to request more time to gather more information. So many people who have lived here all their lives or for many years have come to love so many activities centered around the bay and estuary. It is not right that a Canadian company, whose only interest is profit, should be able to come in and interfere with our daily lives and introduce an unacceptable possibility of danger. Please do not allow this to happen.

Respectfully submitted,

Natalie Ranker