To: Hearings Officer Stamp, Coos County Planning Department Owen Bldg., Coquille, OR ge Appeal Hearing on EXT 18-012, May 31, 2019, Comments by Kathy Dodo

Blue Ridge Appeal Hearing on EXT 18-012, May 31, 2019, Comments by Kathy Dodds

I am requesting of Hearings Officer Stamp that he grant an extension for submission of appeal comments, as the length and complications of the appeal, and the short notice of all the paperwork necessitates more time to make a thorough examination of the appeal.

We, citizens and CFR are here to aid and support the landowners around the Blue Ridge area against an Extension of a Conditional Use Permit of the Blue Ridge Alignment for the Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline and JCEP. File number EXT-18-012.

With this extension applicatin of a pipeline route over Blue Ridge, Pacific Connector Pipeline has held the landowners hostage since 2014 when the threat of eminent domain, taking away their property was first issued. These people have not been able to develop their land, or sell it or enjoy it because of this risk hanging over their property of a hazardous pipeline crossing their land, which also will take out huge swaths of land for the laying of the pipeline. The County has not been protecting these landowners nor their property rights.

Moreover, the federal agency, FERC has instructed Jordon Cove and Pacific Connector to drop the route over Blue Ridge and to consider <u>three other routes</u> for the Project, called collectively "The Blue Ridge Variation."

What use for the applicant to continue to apply for extensions for a defunct route? Why don't the applicant just drop it? Moreover, the applicant does not have the right to private property and does not have the private right of property acquisition (SECTION 5.0.150 (1) and SECTION 5.0.175 (1) and ORS Chapter 35).

The County should deny this extension because the applicant has not begun <u>development</u>, meaning construction of the pipeline within the two year, or even four year period as required by rule. The County misinterpreted the rule by determining development action as applying for other agency permits. Construction means physical implementation of a pipeline, not paperwork of applying for permits.

The County violated CCZLDO 5.2.600 (1)(a)(ii) &(iv) when it determined that the applicant was unable to begin development during the approval period for reasons which the applicant was not responsible. On the contrary, JCEP was responsible for not beginning the project because it did not supply DSL, DEQ and FERC with requested information and responses such that the JCEP Project was denied by FERC.

Respectively submitted:

Kathy Dodds 3783 Spruce St North Bend, OR 97459 wisewalker@hotmail.com May 31, 2019

Exhibit: 4 Date: 5/31/19