



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

January 10, 2024

To:

Coos County Planning Department, % Jill Rolfe, jrolfe@co.coos.or.us

City of Coos Bay Planning Department, % Chelsea Schnable, cschnabel@coosbay.org

City of North Bend Planning Department, % Derek Payne, dpayne@northbendcity.org

Greetings,

The individuals listed below are authorized to represent the organization Rogue Climate in the Coos Bay Estuary Management Plan update process (currently File AM-22-005 CBEMP) through written testimony, verbal testimony, and attending meetings.

Individuals representing Rogue Climate include:

- Ashley Audycki
- Abby Knipp
- Jess Grady-Benson
- Hannah Sohl

In addition, please be advised that Ashley Audycki has been authorized to participate in this proceeding and others before the County since June 2019. We ask that you treat her participation as authorized. Please let me know if there are further questions or clarification needed.

Sincerely,

Hannah Sohl
Executive Director
Rogue Climate

hannah@rogueclimate.org



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

January 10, 2024

To:

Coos County Planning Department, % Jill Rolfe, jrolfe@co.coos.or.us

City of Coos Bay Planning Department, % Chelsea Schnable, cschnabel@coosbay.org

City of North Bend Planning Department, % Derek Payne, dpayne@northbendcity.org

Greetings,

Happy New Year! It has been a year since the first open house happened for this current Phase 1 update of the Coos Bay Estuary Management Plan. There has been a lot of work from the planners over the last year showcasing the willingness to work with community and civic groups. We have appreciated the opportunities to engage in public comment and participate in what is truly a democratic process.

At the December 7th meeting, the jurisdictions agreed to scale back the proposed amendment, given demonstrated concerns about significant shifts in text, the failure to identify those differences and the possibility of more problems. Those testifying advocated for the value of the public's involvement in the process and explained how difficult it had been to review such complex and lengthy documents and understand the basis for extensive substantive amendments and how they would operate holistically. They raised concerns about unintended consequences.

They complained that what they were told was being amended - including correcting scrivener's errors, codifying all jurisdictions' current plans into one document, updating definitions required by superseding state or federal law, and updating terminology- was not necessarily happening in this process. Decisionmakers agreed that no substantive amendments (other than definitions required by law) needed to be adopted now and directed staff to limit the amendments to adopting the digitized zoning maps, combining the plans into one, including headers, and presenting the plan for the process to move forward by resolution or amendment to section 2.

Instead of editing the amendment to limit it to the four items, staff proposed additional substantive amendments, including adopting wholly new definitions (such as structure) and rejecting prior proposed amendments without prompt. Staff also announced that any comments received after January 3, at 5:00 would be "stricken." Staff published three additional versions or restatements of the more than 600 pages of the amendments on



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

December 21st, December 28th and January 3rd. This process has also conflicted with the holiday season, a time when many travel or spend time with friends and families. The last-minute changes have made it challenging for community members and organizations to engage effectively.

We have concerns that adopting the latest round of revisions for the CBEMP Phase 1 process undermines the dedication and commitment of community members to engage in this civic and democratic process. The people in this room tonight have been showing up time after time because they do care about what happens with this crucial management plan.

There has been a sense of urgency to wrap up Phase 1 when it is unnecessary. Department of Land Conservation staff have confirmed that Phase 1 of the CBEMP does not have to be adopted to seek funding for Phase 2; what is needed is either a resolution or a letter of intent to collaborate on a comprehensive update. One action that can be taken tonight is to adopt a resolution prepared by the planners. We do not have issues with decision-makers approving the existing resolution now.

Further solutions may include continuing the adoption process to have time for community members and civic groups to review materials (an additional 14 up to 60 days would be suggested). Also, an opportunity for community members to have more direct dialogue with planners and decision-makers to understand the changes happening more fully. Another potential solution that has been proposed is to convene a community review committee to look through suggested edits. We also appreciate other proposals from community members such as Mike Graybill's.

Between the timing and the need for clarity of new edits, we recommend that phase 1 is not adopted tonight. We encourage decision-makers to pass the resolution this evening and to allow for an extension for review of the new materials. It has taken a while to reach this point, so what is the rush to complete it tonight? We ask the jurisdictions to commit to making this an accessible community process.

Sincerely,
Ashley Audycki
South Coast Coordinator
Rogue Climate
ashley@rogueclimate.org



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

January 10, 2024

To:

Coos County Planning Department, % Jill Rolfe, jrolfe@co.coos.or.us

City of Coos Bay Planning Department, % Chelsea Schnable, cschnabel@coosbay.org

City of North Bend Planning Department, % Derek Payne, dpayne@northbendcity.org

Greetings,

We submit these additional comments, asking you to reject the staff's recommendation to adopt a plan amendment.

At the December 7th meeting, the jurisdictions agreed to scale back the proposed amendment given the demonstrated significant problems, the failure to previously identify those problems, and the real possibility that there were more problems. Those testifying advocated for the value of the public's involvement in the process and explained how difficult it had been to review such complex and lengthy documents and understand the basis for extensive substantive amendments and how they would operate holistically. They raised concerns about unintended consequences. They complained that what they were told was being amended - corrections of scribe's errors, codification of all jurisdictions' current plans into one document, updating to definitions required by superseding state or federal law, and updating nomenclature - was not what was presented.

In response, the councilors and commissioners confirmed that there was no reason substantive amendments (other than definitions) needed to be adopted now and directed staff to limit the amendments to adopting the digitized zoning maps, combining the plans into one, including headers and present the plan for the process to move forward by resolution or amendment to section 2. They also agreed with the staff's request that interested parties be asked to submit additional comments by January 3, so that there was time to consider them before the next hearing, which they set for January 10. And finally, some councilors and commissioners expressed some interest in Mr. Graybill's proposal to engage a citizens' review of the consultant's work line-by-line so that the community could assist in identifying problems and fully understand what was proposed as a starting point for the full revision that would be guided by the section 2/resolution process.

Instead of editing the amendment to limit it to the four items, staff proposed significant



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

additional substantive amendments, including adopting wholly new definitions and rejecting prior proposed amendments without any prompt. Staff also announced that any comments received after January 3, at 5:00 would be “stricken.” Staff published three additional versions or restatements of the more-than 600 pages of the amendments on December 21st, December 28th and January 3rd.

On December 21, a document named “jan102024reportandattachment.pdf” was published. It is a 655 page document - 20 page staff memo and 630 page additionally red-lined Vol. 2 Pt. 1 of the CBEMP. Staff proposed to make significant substantive changes at least some of which are evident at pages 37-41, 45-52, 54, 69-70, 75-75, 78-82, 106-107, 120-121, 133-134.

Then on December 28, documents named “cbempmemoupdated 122823.pdf” and “cbemp proposed revisions with line byline review jan 2024.pdf” were published with the announcement that these documents “replace the packet that was prepared on December 20, 2023.” The memo, still 22 pages, was significantly edited to make clear that staff was not withdrawing any of the consultant’s proposed amendments and would merely propose further amendments to respond to some of the comments. In this memo, staff announced that it did the line-by-line review, suggesting nothing further was needed to respond to the community's interest to engage in that process.

The “cbemp proposed revisions...” document is an additional red-lined version of Vol 2 Pt. 1 CBEMP but now only 617 pages skewing the pagination making comparison with the version on the 20th difficult.

Then on January 3, a document called “coos_county_cbemp_board_of_commissioners_staff_report_1-10-24_w-attachments.pdf” was published. It is a 745 page document with 29 page memo presenting staff’s findings and recommendations, a 12 page proposed ordinance, a 22 page staff memo dated 12/28, a 14 page amendment to the county land development code, and 609 page redlined version of Vol. 2 pt. CBEMP (electronic pages 78-687), exhibits e and f, which include newly published responses to comments. The attachment, a compilation of various documents includes the email notices of these documents.

We submitted comments on January 3rd, noting that we previously asked for an extension which we did for several reasons, not the least of which was the impossibility of reviewing 600 pages in one week during the holidays. But then the task became reviewing an additional 600 pages in three days. Our comments explained the impossibility of the task and



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

indicated that we intended to submit additional substantive comments. Then the January 3rd publication was sent and we learned that when others submitted comments after 5 pm, staff sent a notice saying they were late. The futility of any significant review of the documents and staff's work is clear.

It makes no sense, given the policy of all three jurisdictions require meaningful public participation and the CBEMP policy specifically deems public input key to making good decisions, that the community would be relegated a mere few days and another 3-minute opportunity to engage in what should have been a collaborative effort starting 3 years ago.¹ While the County may believe that Goal 1 is satisfied by providing any open comment period, we strongly disagree. We have been saying for well over a year, that the CBEMP citizens involvement processes deemed necessary to satisfy Goal 1 has not been followed; and Goal 1 has been violated. We reiterate that the PCW's meetings were not subject to public meetings requirements which enable public participation and a record of the proceedings. None of the PCW's proceedings records are included in this record.

With our comments we attached previously written draft comments that demonstrated grave problems with the consultant's work. The nature of the errors included the exclusion of estuarine resources from the regulatory process. We pointed out that the December 21st revisions to Policy 2 now also specifically withdrew requirements that Natural Management Unit uses are consistent with "linkage and goal exception findings in the plan" and are subject to "special conditions and other policies set forth elsewhere in the plan." These criteria are no longer effective. Instead, as now proposed, a use need only be consistent with the resource capabilities of the area and the purposes of the management unit. We believe this is inconsistent with Goal 16 because the activities allowed as referenced in the linkage and allowed conditionally were so allowed because the Goal 16 analysis and implementation resulted in the linkage references and conditions.

But then, a new test for "consistency" was also inserted - a use or activity is consistent if the impacts are merely "not significant." This conflicts with Policy 4 which sets for a compatibility consistency analysis framework for any action that would potentially alter the integrity of the estuarine ecosystem. Policy 4 adopts Goal 16's implementation requirement 1's framework. Because the new "insignificant" test may be deemed to supersede the Policy 4 analysis, this amendment is inconsistent with Goal 16 and Goal 2's planning requirements.

¹ See CBEMP section 2.4; See also, North Bend Plan sections 15.1.100, 15.2.100, 15.3.100(3), 15.4.100 and 15.4.100(6) 7(10); See also, Coos Bay Plan Chapter 8. All of these require that the general public have opportunity to be involved in all phases of the planning process



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

Moreover, Policy 4 does not adopt an ambiguous “insignificant” standard for the determination of consistency. And otherwise, the consultant did not propose the adoption of such a test. Whether such a test is sufficient and how the jurisdictions would define “significant” – e.g., is a 30-year temporary impact, or even a 3-year temporary impact given sea level rise, an insignificant impact - should be considered? This is a major policy decision and has not been subject to appropriate consideration and comment.

We have intended to be a working partner in this process raising issues to be fixed. To that end, we refrained from submitting critical comments tied to the Goals which we finally attached to our January 3rd comments. The most recent staff reports and communications, however, make it clear that we are directed to focus on “the criteria established for legislative amendments.” Those attached comments do that. Doing so further now, appears to be futile.

Until December 21, we understood that what was intended to be considered for adoption on January 10 was not the consultant’s work but a simplified revision to codify and correct errors, which was what the public has been told for over a year was being proposed. The notice announced in December of any official action on January 10, was insufficient because it did not properly identify the scope of the decision. Moreover, despite an energetic discussion about the need to notice the separate proceeding to adopt after the work session, neither Coos County nor North Bend posted any notice of the meeting on their web pages. See the attachment which includes prints of screenshots taken on January 3. As understood, this fails to meet statutory requirements for both public meeting and land use meeting requirements.

So, we ask that you make it clear it is not futile to spend additional resources trying to participate in this process and that you provide at least 2 weeks for additional written comments to be received and that the jurisdictions reconvene another joint meeting before adoption. There is no deadline; there is no reason to rush. That is our alternative request and its suggested to fix a problem. We continue to believe that moving forward with the consultant’s work and now the substantive changes made by staff is not the right path and is legally fraught. We prefer that, if it is important to adopt something, stick to the consensus developed on December 7th and adopt only the maps, the required definitions and flood plain updates and bring the plans together with headers and digital links but otherwise reject all of the other substantive changes at this time.

Finally, the new proposal to policy 3 does not fix the problems raised in the comments attached to our January 3 comments. The issue demonstrates why a better opportunity to work together is important to ensure good decision-making. Our concerns about Policy 3 and the



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

maps was not based upon some confusion about the special considerations map being a mere summary of the adopted mylar inventory maps. The concern was that by taking out policy 3 and

references to the Special Considerations Map throughout the policies meant that even if the detailed maps were still relevant (i.e., not newly deemed “archived maps,”) they were no longer tethered by anything to the policies. It is difficult to explain such things without the opportunity for a conversation.²

While use of the Special Considerations Map has not been reinstated, the nomenclature for the map references amended in the policies continue to cause confusion. See some relevant examples in the attachment. This is because the three tables of maps in newly amended policy 3 create confusion. The current (1984 adopted) mylar maps are listed as “Regulatory Detailed Plan Maps.” Then the policy references “Regulatory Inventory Maps (Digitized Mylars)” and purports to list them below. Below is table 2 which is entitled “Regulatory Digitized Detailed Plan Maps” and only Map 34 is listed. But also below is Table 3 entitled “**Nonregulatory** Digitized Inventory Maps” with a notation in the title “used as a tool but not a substitute for the original Plan Map” It lists only 9 of the original mylar maps. It is unexplained why table 1 mylar map 33 would be deemed “regulatory” and table 3 digitized map 33 would be deemed “non-regulatory.” In addition to that confusion, the lack of consistent references to the nomenclature of Policy 3, make the map referenmces in the policies confusing and thus they lose their Goal 16 and 17 consistency status.

In addition, it appears that the digitized maps will continue to fail to include the aquatic resources because they are not in table 3. Therefore, the digitization tool will likely fail to put parties on notice of where relevant resources exist that require additional special considerations. And Goal 16 violation could occur if there is a lack of diligence and oversight. This problem presents a goal 2 planning problem.

We have done our best to participate and ensure good decisions will be made. Still, our efforts and interests in protecting the Coos Bay estuary and ensuring proper land use have been prejudiced by the process adopted and implemented. We continue to believe there are other unintended Goal-violating consequences that will be revealed if this amendment is adopted and

² Similarly, the rejection of comments about the Tribes’ roles because the comments came from parties who “do not speak for the Tribes,” suggests the comments were misunderstood which could have been avoided if there was opportunity for discussion.



www.RogueClimate.org || PO Box 1980, Phoenix, OR 97535 || 541-301-9204

Coos Bay Office: 243 S. 2nd St. Coos Bay, OR 97420 || 541- 816-0758

urge you not to adopt it.

Sincerely,
Ashley Audycki
South Coast Coordinator
Rogue Climate
ashley@rogueclimate.org

From: [Ashley Audycki](mailto:Ashley.Audycki)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: CBEMP Joint Work Session Staff report
Date: Wednesday, December 20, 2023 12:07:53 PM

latest response from Chelsea

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Chelsea Schnabel <cschnabel@coosbayor.gov>
Date: Wed, Dec 20, 2023 at 12:04 PM
Subject: RE: CBEMP Joint Work Session Staff report
To: Ashley Audycki <ashley@rogueclimate.org>
Cc: Derek Payne <dpayne@northbendcity.org>, Planning Department <planning@co.coos.or.us>, jrolfe <jrolfe@co.coos.or.us>

Hi Ashely –

What you've noted below is what decision-makers directed staff to return with in a revised draft CBEMP for adoption on January 10, 2024. The criteria that should be addressed in comment remains the criteria established for legislative amendments.

Best,

Chelsea Schnabel, AICP, CFM | Planning Administrator

City of Coos Bay – Community Development Department

500 Central Avenue, Coos Bay, OR 97420

(541) 269-1181 x3531 | cschnabel@coosbayor.gov

PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE: Emails are generally public records and therefore subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. Emails can be sent inadvertently to unintended recipients and contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please advise by return email and delete immediately without reading or forwarding to others. Thank you.

From: Ashley Audycki <ashley@rogueclimate.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2023 11:04 AM

To: Chelsea Schnabel <cschnabel@coosbayor.gov>
Cc: Derek Payne <dpayne@northbendcity.org>; Planning Department <planning@co.coos.or.us>; jrolfe <jrolfe@co.coos.or.us>
Subject: Re: CBEMP Joint Work Session Staff report

Okay, I was reviewing the upcoming hearings notice and minutes from the last meeting for what criteria/sections folks should make comments on, and that's what I'm seeking clarification on. I'm trying to send out information for the January 3rd written deadline before the holidays.

From my own notes here's what I understand is what the next Joint Work Session is focusing on:

- Digitizing the maps
- Consolidating all 3 jurisdictional plans into 1
- New headers
- Concerns about chapter 2 - sections in the report dealing w/ committees, major and minor amendments (process for phase 2)

Does this reflect the criteria for the meeting? Trying to direct community members from going all over the place with comments. Any insight is appreciated.

Thank you,

On Wed, Dec 20, 2023 at 9:16 AM Chelsea Schnabel <cschnabel@coosbayor.gov> wrote:

Hi Ashley –

Jill and Derek may also chime in.

Comments are due by January 3rd at 5:00 p.m. Comments should be focused on the criteria for a legislative plan amendment.

Thank you,

Chelsea Schnabel, AICP, CFM | Planning Administrator

City of Coos Bay – Community Development Department

500 Central Avenue, Coos Bay, OR 97420

(541) 269-1181 x3531 | cschnabel@coosbayor.gov

PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE: Emails are generally public records and therefore subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. Emails can be sent inadvertently to unintended recipients and contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please advise by return email and delete immediately without reading or forwarding to others. Thank you.

From: Ashley Audycki <ashley@rogueclimate.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 20, 2023 9:05 AM
To: Chelsea Schnabel <cschnabel@coosbayor.gov>
Cc: Derek Payne <dpayne@northbendcity.org>; Planning Department <planning@co.coos.or.us>; jrolfe <jrolfe@co.coos.or.us>
Subject: Re: CBEMP Joint Work Session Staff report

Okay, thanks for letting me know.

For clarification, what are folks to be focusing on for comments? I recall a January 3rd written deadline being established but there were certain criteria mentioned for the next Joint Work session.

Trying to help out with community engagement by giving some parameters before the deadlines and taking off for the holidays.

Thanks,

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers

South Coast Regional Coordinator

Rogue Climate

541-816-0758

243 S. 2nd Street

Coos Bay, OR 97420

On Wed, Dec 20, 2023 at 8:53 AM Chelsea Schnabel <cschnabel@coosbayor.gov> wrote:

Hi Ashley –

Meeting materials will be available at least 7 days in advance of our upcoming January 10 work session/public hearing. If those become available sooner, they will be posted to the City's website. Please check back after the Christmas holiday.

Thank You & Happy Holidays,

Chelsea Schnabel, AICP, CFM | Planning Administrator

City of Coos Bay – Community Development Department

[500 Central Avenue, Coos Bay, OR 97420](https://www.coosbayor.gov/500-Central-Avenue-Coos-Bay-OR-97420)

(541) 269-1181 x3531 | cschnabel@coosbayor.gov

PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE: Emails are generally public records and therefore subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. Emails can be sent inadvertently to unintended recipients and contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please advise by return email and delete immediately without reading or forwarding to others. Thank you.

From: Ashley Audycki <ashley@rogueclimate.org>

Sent: Tuesday, December 19, 2023 1:06 PM

To: Planning Department <planning@co.coos.or.us>; jrolfe <jrolfe@co.coos.or.us>;
Derek Payne <dpayne@northbendcity.org>; Chelsea Schnabel
<cschnabel@coosbayor.gov>
Subject: CBEMP Joint Work Session Staff report

Hello folks,

I hope folks are doing well. I was checking on the timing of the availability of the staff report for the upcoming CBEMP Joint Worksession.

I'll be offline starting this Thursday and was hoping to review the report before taking off.

Happy holidays,

--

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers

South Coast Regional Coordinator

Rogue Climate

541-816-0758

[243 S. 2nd Street](#)

[Coos Bay, OR 97420](#)

--

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers

South Coast Regional Coordinator

Rogue Climate

541-816-0758

243 S. 2nd Street

Coos Bay, OR 97420

--

Ashley Audycki

She/Her/Hers

South Coast Regional Coordinator

Rogue Climate

541-816-0758

243 S. 2nd Street

Coos Bay, OR 97420

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Planning Department** <Planning@co.coos.or.us>

Date: Thu, Dec 21, 2023 at 11:19 AM

Subject: RE: Coos Bay Estuary Management Plan

To: Planning Department <Planning@co.coos.or.us>, ashley@rogueclimate.org <ashley@rogueclimate.org>, Jan Hodder <jhodder@uoregon.edu>, donnambirdlady@yahoo.com <donnambirdlady@yahoo.com>, Phillip Johnson <phillip@oregonshores.org>, jsfereday1017@gmail.com <jsfereday1017@gmail.com>, brile@gmail.com <brile@gmail.com>, kenecon2004@gmail.com <kenecon2004@gmail.com>, bsegner22@gmail.com <bsegner22@gmail.com>, rick@rbmindianlaw.com <rick@rbmindianlaw.com>, Steve Miller <smiller4547@gmail.com>, lbasch@hawaii.edu <lbasch@hawaii.edu>, diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu <diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu>, mhodbill@gmail.com <mhodbill@gmail.com>, michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov <michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov>, Win.mclaughlin@socc.edu <Win.mclaughlin@socc.edu>, cmoffitt@uidaho.edu <cmoffitt@uidaho.edu>, SCHMITT Jenni * DSL <Jenni.SCHMITT@dsl.oregon.gov>, joannatlyle@gmail.com <joannatlyle@gmail.com>, Courtney Krossman <ckrossman@ctclusi.org>, s.skinner80@hotmail.com <s.skinner80@hotmail.com>, Gabrielle Bratt <gabriellebratt@coquilletribe.org>, tokku129@gmail.com <tokku129@gmail.com>, Suzanne C <mtsuzanne@live.com>, WRIGHT Deanna * DLCD <deanna.wright@dlcd.oregon.gov>, cjblaney46@gmail.com <cjblaney46@gmail.com>, hmsmath@hotmail.com <hmsmath@hotmail.com>, chechen97420@gmail.com <chechen97420@gmail.com>, Crystal Shoji <crystal@shojiplanning.com>, Matt Friesen <mfriesen@portofcoosbay.com>, Mike Dunning <MDunning@portofcoosbay.com>

Hello,

Please find the report for the January 10, 2024 meeting.

https://www.co.coos.or.us/system/temporary/filefield_paths/jan102024reportandattachments.pdf.

Thank you,

Jill Rolfe

From: Planning Department

Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2023 4:16 PM

To: 'ashley@rogueclimate.org' <ashley@rogueclimate.org>; 'Jan Hodder' <jhodder@uoregon.edu>; 'donnambirdlady@yahoo.com' <donnambirdlady@yahoo.com>; 'Phillip Johnson' <phillip@oregonshores.org>; jsfereday1017@gmail.com; brile@gmail.com; kenecon2004@gmail.com; bsegner22@gmail.com; rick@rbmindianlaw.com; 'Steve Miller' <slmiller4547@gmail.com>; lbasch@hawaii.edu; 'diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu' <diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu>; 'mhodbill@gmail.com' <mhodbill@gmail.com>; michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov; Win.mclaughlin@socc.edu; cmoffitt@uidaho.edu; 'SCHMITT Jenni * DSL' <Jenni.SCHMITT@dsl.oregon.gov>; joannatlyle@gmail.com; Courtney Krossman <ckrossman@ctclusi.org>; s.skinner80@hotmail.com; 'Gabrielle Bratt' <gabriellebratt@coquilletribe.org>; kenecon2004@gmail.com; tokku129@gmail.com; Suzanne C <mtsuzanne@live.com>; WRIGHT Deanna * DLCDC <deanna.wright@dlcd.oregon.gov>; 'cjblaney46@gmail.com' <cjblaney46@gmail.com>; hmsmath@hotmail.com; chechen97420@gmail.com; Crystal Shoji <crystal@shojiplanning.com>; 'Matt Friesen' <mfriesen@portofcoosbay.com>; 'Mike Dunning' <MDunning@PortofCoosBay.com>
Subject: Coos Bay Estuary Management Plan

Please find the proposal for the December 7, 2023 work session.

https://www.co.coos.or.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/community_development/page/23908/cbemp_staff_report_joint_work_group_december_7_meeting.pdf

Thank you,

Jill Rolfe, Director

Coos County Community Development

250 N. Baxter

Coquille OR 97423

541-396-7770

planning@co.coos.or.us



Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

--

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers
South Coast Regional Coordinator
Rogue Climate
541-816-0758
243 S. 2nd Street
Coos Bay, OR 97420

[REDACTED]

From: Ashley Audycki <ashley@rogueclimate.org>
Sent: Friday, December 29, 2023 2:40 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: CBEMP Work Session
Attachments: DRAFT_Resolution.pdf

Attached to the email is a stand-alone file of the draft resolution. Amy Dibble from the Coos County Planning Department said that there were no changes made to the resolution.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Forwarded message -----

From: Amy Dibble <adibble@co.coos.or.us>
Date: Fri, Dec 29, 2023 at 8:12 AM
Subject: CBEMP Work Session
To: ashley@rogueclimate.org <ashley@rogueclimate.org>, jhodder@uoregon.edu <jhodder@uoregon.edu>, donnambirdlady@yahoo.com <donnambirdlady@yahoo.com>, phillip@oregonshores.org <phillip@oregonshores.org>, jsfereday1017@gmail.com <jsfereday1017@gmail.com>, brile@gmail.com <brile@gmail.com>, kenecon2004@gmail.com <kenecon2004@gmail.com>, bsegner22@gmail.com <bsegner22@gmail.com>, rick@rbmindianlaw.com <rick@rbmindianlaw.com>, slmiller4547@gmail.com <slmiller4547@gmail.com>, lbasch@hawaii.edu <lbasch@hawaii.edu>, diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu <diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu>, mhodbill@gmail.com <mhodbill@gmail.com>, michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov <michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov>, win.mclaughlin@socc.edu <win.mclaughlin@socc.edu>, cmoffitt@uidaho.edu <cmoffitt@uidaho.edu>, jenni.schmidtt@dsl.oregon.gov <jenni.schmidtt@dsl.oregon.gov>, joannatlyle@gmail.com <joannatlyle@gmail.com>, ckrossman@ctclusi.org <ckrossman@ctclusi.org>, s.skinner80@hotmail.com <s.skinner80@hotmail.com>, gabriellebratt@coquilletribe.org <gabriellebratt@coquilletribe.org>, tokku129@gmail.com <tokku129@gmail.com>, mtsuzanne@live.com <mtsuzanne@live.com>, deanna.wright@dlcd.oregon.gov <deanna.wright@dlcd.oregon.gov>, cjblaney46@gmail.com <cjblaney46@gmail.com>, hmsmath@hotmail.com <hmsmath@hotmail.com>, chechen97420@gmail.com <chechen97420@gmail.com>

Hello,

Below please find the links for the memo and proposed revisions to the Coos Bay Estuary Management Plan's work session that will take place on January 10th 2024. This replaces the packet that was prepared on December 20th, 2023.

https://www.co.coos.or.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/community_development/page/24124/cbempmemoupdated_122823.pdf

https://www.co.coos.or.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/community_development/page/24124/cbemp_proposed_revisions_with_linebylinereview_jan_2024.pdf

Thank you,

Amy Dibble

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

--

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers
South Coast Regional Coordinator
Rogue Climate
541-816-0758
243 S. 2nd Street
Coos Bay, OR 97420

--

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers
South Coast Regional Coordinator
Rogue Climate
541-816-0758

243 S. 2nd Street
Coos Bay, OR 97420

[REDACTED]

From: Ashley Audycki <ashley@roguclimate.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2024 4:26 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: AM-22-005 - CBEMP

This was just sent out.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Amy Dibble <adibble@co.coos.or.us>

Date: Wed, Jan 3, 2024 at 4:21 PM

Subject: AM-22-005 - CBEMP

To: ashley@roguclimate.org <ashley@roguclimate.org>, jhodder@uoregon.edu <jhodder@uoregon.edu>, donnambirdlady@yahoo.com <donnambirdlady@yahoo.com>, phillip@oregonshores.org <phillip@oregonshores.org>, jsfereday1017@gmail.com <jsfereday1017@gmail.com>, bgrile@epuerto.us <bgrile@epuerto.us>, slmiller4547@gmail.com <slmiller4547@gmail.com>, lbasch@hawaii.edu <lbasch@hawaii.edu>, diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu <diana.kaljumagi@socc.edu>, mhodbill@gmail.com <mhodbill@gmail.com>, michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov <michelle.mcmullin@noaa.gov>, win.mclaughlin@socc.edu <win.mclaughlin@socc.edu>, cmoffitt@uidaho.edu <cmoffitt@uidaho.edu>, jenni.schmitt@dsl.oregon.gov <jenni.schmitt@dsl.oregon.gov>, joannatyle@gmail.com <joannatyle@gmail.com>, ckrossman@ctclusi.org <ckrossman@ctclusi.org>, s.skinner80@hotmail.com <s.skinner80@hotmail.com>, gabriellebratt@coquilletribe.org <gabriellebratt@coquilletribe.org>, kenecon2004@gmail.com <kenecon2004@gmail.com>, tokku129@gmail.com <tokku129@gmail.com>, mtsuzanne@live.com <mtsuzanne@live.com>, deanna.wright@dlcd.oregon.gov <deanna.wright@dlcd.oregon.gov>, cjblaney46@gmail.com <cjblaney46@gmail.com>, hmsmath@hotmail.com <hmsmath@hotmail.com>, chechen97420@gmail.com <chechen97420@gmail.com>, nifty60s@gmail.com <nifty60s@gmail.com>, Annie Merrill <annie@oregonshores.org>
Cc: Jill Rolfe <jrolfe@co.coos.or.us>, Derek Payne <dpayne@northbendcity.org>, Chelsea Schnabel <cschnabel@coosbayor.gov>

Hello,

Below please find the link to the Staff Report for the January 10, 2024 Work Session/Hearing.

https://www.co.coos.or.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/community_development/page/23908/coos_county_cbemp_board_of_commissioners_staff_report_1-10-24_w-attachments.pdf

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Amy Dibble

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

--

Ashley Audycki
She/Her/Hers
South Coast Regional Coordinator
Rogue Climate
541-816-0758
243 S. 2nd Street
Coos Bay, OR 97420



Meetings

From: Jul 3 2023 To: Feb 2 2024 Departments: - Any - Boards and Commissions: - Any - [Apply](#) [Reset](#)

Meetings Table

Date	Meeting	Agendas	Agenda Packets	Minutes	View
02/01/2024 - 7:00pm	Coos County Planning Commission	Agenda			View Details
01/11/2024 - 7:00pm	Coos County Planning Commission				View Details
01/03/2024 - 3:30pm	Coos County Noxious Weed Advisory Board	Agenda Agenda		Minutes	View Details
01/02/2024 - 9:30am	BOC meeting		Agenda Packet		View Details
01/01/2024 - 8:00am	wk of 1/1/24 meeting & committee notice				View Details
12/20/2023 - 1:30pm	HB4123 Homeless Advisory Meeting				View Details
12/19/2023 - 9:30am	BOC meeting		Agenda Packet		View Details
12/19/2023 - 9:30am	12/19/23 revised agenda & packet		Agenda Packet		View Details
12/18/2023 - 6:00pm	December 2023 Fair Board Meeting	Agenda			View Details
12/11/2023 - 8:00am	wk of 12/11/23 meeting notice				View Details
12/06/2023 - 3:30pm	Coos County Noxious Weed Advisory Board	Agenda Agenda		Minutes	View Details
12/05/2023 - 9:30am	12/5/23 BOC meeting		Agenda Packet		View Details
12/05/2023 - 9:30am	12/5/23 revised agenda & packet		Agenda Packet		View Details
12/04/2023 - 8:00am	wk of 12/4/23 meeting & committee notice				View Details
11/30/2023 - 3:00pm	Local Alcohol & Drug Planning Committee virtual meeting				View Details
11/27/2023 - 8:00am	wk of 11/27/23 meeting notice				View Details

[All Boards >](#)



Next Scheduled Meeting: January 9, 2024

2024 Meetings

Meeting

View Online

Download PDF Files

Other Meetings:

[2024 Meetings](#)

[2023 Meetings](#)

 [2022 Meetings](#)

[2021 Meetings](#)

[2020 Meetings](#)

 Select Language ▼

17

"Major marshes" to include areas identified in the Goal #17, "Linkage Matrix" (Appendix B), and the "Shoreland Values" Inventory Map (Map M215); and

b. "Significant wildlife habitats" to include those areas identified on the "Shoreland Values" Inventory Map (Map M215); and

b. Through use of the Detailed Maps Special Considerations Map that identified such special areas and restricts uses and activities therein to uses that are consistent with the protection of natural values

20

This policy shall be implemented by:

a. Designating "Selected Dredge Material Disposal Sites" plan map on the "Special Considerations Map"; and

22

Designating "high" and "medium" priority mitigation sites on the "Selected Mitigation and Restoration Sites" Special Considerations Inventory Map; and Designating "high" and "medium" priority mitigation sites on the "Selected Mitigation and Restoration Sites" Special Considerations Inventory Map; and

34

#34 Recognition of LCDC Goal #4 (Forest Lands) Requirements for Forest Lands within the Coastal Shorelands Boundary

Unless otherwise allowed through an Exception, Coos County shall manage all rural lands designated on the Special Considerations Map Inventory Maps as "Forest Lands" within the Coastal Shorelands Boundary consistent with the "Forest Uses" requirements of LCDC Goal #4. Allowed

34

This policy shall be implemented by using the "Generalized Zoning" Inventory Map (Map 3.1) inventory plan maps-Plan Map 32 "Agricultural and Forest Lands" Special Considerations Map (Policy #3) to identify "Forest Lands", and to abide by the prescriptive use and activity requirements of LCDC Goal #4 in lieu of other management alternatives otherwise allowed for properties within the "Forest Lands-Overlay" set forth on the Special Considerations Inventory Maps and except where otherwise allowed by Exception for needed housing and industrial sites.

Unit 9A-CS & others

3. Where "agricultural lands" or "forest lands" occur within this unit as identified in the "~~Generalized Zoning~~ Inventory Map (Map 3.1) inventory plan maps, uses in these areas shall be limited to those permitted in Policies #28 and #34.