From: Ken Bonetti
To: Chelsea Schnabel

Subject: Re: NOTICE - CBEMP Phase I Updates (Joint Work Session Meeting Materials Available Online for Review)

**Date:** Friday, December 29, 2023 3:36:41 PM

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Allow sender | Block sender

## Hi Chelsea,

Thank you for your response to my comments. My principle reason for responding back is to ask you to extend the comment deadline so that people have time to evaluate and respond to proposed revisions. The legal staff of organizations I look to for expert analysis are not expected to return until Jan. 2. The technical nature of your proposed revisions requires time for evaluation and that cannot happen before Jan. 3. Those organizations likewise will not have time to provide educated comments. Please consider this request and change the deadline.

Thank You, Ken Bonetti

On Fri, Dec 29, 2023 at 9:32 AM Chelsea Schnabel < cschnabel@coosbayor.gov > wrote:

Hi Ken -

Thank you for taking the time to review the proposed changes and to reach out with questions.

Regarding the request to define the composition of various committees within the CBEMP planning document: It is not necessary nor beneficial to explicitly define within the CBEMP the composition of each of these advisory committees, doing so would leave little room in the future to be flexible to add in other industries or interests not currently contemplated; therefore, staff recommends the language related to committee composition in the CBEMP be general. In lieu of detailing the intended interests to be represented on the various committees directly in the CBEMP, staff recommends outlining those specifics in an adopted multi-jurisdictional resolution. The benefits of adopting a resolution setting forth the commitment to complete a comprehensive update of the CBEMP and establishing a basic workplan and guidelines including intended compositions for development of the citizen advisory and technical advisory committees include: 1) certainty that the CBEMP will not need to be amended again to address the committee composition prior to initiating the next phase of these updates, which could be timely; 2) continued coordination among the three (3) jurisdictions; and 3) increased potential for grant funding to further future updates.

If you look to the example of Yaquina Bay's Estuary Management Plan update that was provided by Oregon Shores at the December 7, 2023 Joint Work Session, you can note that the advisory group formed for that purpose was not the result of their plan having specific interests outlined directly in the planning document. If they had been bound to a list defined in their plan, their advisory group may have looked much differently, and the update process may not have been as successful.

While I do understand the concern, I hope that you, and the community, can see the overall benefits to moving forward as proposed.

Please let me know if you have any further questions. I look forward to seeing you in the New Year. :)

Best,

## Chelsea Schnabel, AICP, CFM | Planning Administrator

City of Coos Bay – Community Development Department

500 Central Avenue, Coos Bay, OR 97420

# (541) 269-1181 x3531 | cschnabel@coosbayor.gov

**PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE:** Emails are generally public records and therefore subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. Emails can be sent inadvertently to unintended recipients and contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please advise by return email and delete immediately without reading or forwarding to others. Thank you.

From: Ken Bonetti < <u>kenecon2004@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, December 28, 2023 8:17 PM

**To:** Chelsea Schnabel < <u>cschnabel@coosbayor.gov</u>>

Cc: Christine Sylvester < csylvester@coosbayor.gov >; jrolfe < jrolfe@co.coos.or.us >; Derek

Payne < dpayne@northbendcity.org >

Subject: Re: NOTICE - CBEMP Phase I Updates (Joint Work Session Meeting Materials

Available Online for Review)

I also read the CBEMP amendment process (Sec 2), which on the surface seems orderly, comprehensive and reasonable. However, it seems they utilized general wording for citizen and technical committee composition rather than the more specific composition wording listing interests and expertise we recommended for the respective committees. What's your take on all this?

On Thu, Dec 21, 2023 at 1:04 PM Chelsea Schnabel < cschnabel@coosbayor.gov > wrote:

Hello –

The County has posted meeting materials for the upcoming January 10, 2024 Joint Work Session online for review at

https://www.co.coos.or.us/system/temporary/filefield\_paths/jan102024reportandattachments.pdf.

## **Please Be Aware:**

All testimony is <u>due</u> by **January 3rd** at **5:00 p.m.** Comments should be focused on the criteria for a legislative plan amendment. Comments may be emailed to <u>planning@co.coos.or.us</u>, mailed to 250 N. Baxter, Coquille OR 97423, or dropped by 60 E Second St. Coquille OR. The office is closed on Friday's but there is a drop box available. If mailing, please allow for time to be received.

Enjoy Your Holidays,

## Chelsea Schnabel, AICP, CFM | Planning Administrator

City of Coos Bay – Community Development Department

500 Central Avenue, Coos Bay, OR 97420

# (541) 269-1181 x3531 | cschnabel@coosbayor.gov

**PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE:** Emails are generally public records and therefore subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. Emails can be sent inadvertently to unintended recipients and contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please advise by return email and delete immediately without reading or forwarding to others. Thank you.